



Mental Health Psychology in a Biopsychosocial Perspective: Applications in Family, Workplace, Education, Older Adults, and Digital Technology

Loso Judijanto
IPOSS Jakarta

Corresponding Author: Loso Judijanto losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Mental Health Psychology, Mental Health Literacy, Resilience, Stigma, Biopsychosocial, Family, Workplace, Children and Adolescents, Elderly, Digital Mental Health

Received : 5 May

Revised : 20 June

Accepted : 20 July

©2026 Judijanto : This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Atribusi 4.0 Internasional](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



ABSTRACT

This article aims to articulate mental health psychology in a more focused, argumentative, and up-to-date literature-based way. Using a qualitative literature review method, it synthesizes journal literature, especially since 2020, on mental health concepts, the biopsychosocial model, promotion and prevention, psychological interventions, literacy and stigma, resilience, family, workplace, children and adolescents, older adults, and digital mental health. The findings show that mental health psychology should be understood as a holistic approach that positions mental well-being as the result of interactions between biological, psychological, social, cultural, economic, and technological factors. Mental health psychology apps work best when individual interventions are combined with environmental changes, like supportive parenting, a healthy work culture, school programs based on literacy and resilience, social support for the elderly, and safe, evidence-based digital technology. The main challenges include stigma, low literacy, gaps in service access, cross-sector fragmentation, cultural adaptation, and ethical risks in digital services. This article concludes that developing mental health requires integrated promotive, preventive, curative, and recovery strategies across sectors. Future research should evaluate the effectiveness of interventions based on local contexts and develop ethical, inclusive, and sustainable governance for digital mental health

INTRODUCTION

Mental health is increasingly taking a strategic position in public health, education, family, and organizational agendas because psychological disorders don't just affect individual suffering, but also productivity, social relationships, family functioning, and society's capacity to adapt to change. Recent literature shows that depression and anxiety are major contributors to the global disease burden, while social crises like pandemics increase psychological pressure through social isolation, economic uncertainty, disruption of services, and changes in everyday interaction patterns [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10]. In that context, mental health psychology needs to be understood not just as a field that deals with disorders, but as a scientific and practical approach to promoting well-being, preventing psychological problems, strengthening resilience, and designing interventions that are sensitive to biological, psychological, social, cultural, and technological contexts [11], [12], [13], [14].

The urgency of this study is growing because mental health has expanded in meaning from a clinical approach focused on diagnosis to an approach that is promotive, preventive, curative, and rehabilitative, integrated into everyday life. Families, schools, workplaces, communities, primary health services, and digital platforms have now become important arenas for early detection, education, psychosocial support, and strengthening coping skills [15], [16], [17]. This article is expected to be more argumentative, focused, and evidence-based, so that the discussion is not just descriptive, but also able to explain how the principles of mental health psychology are practically applied and what challenges need to be anticipated in their implementation.

In many communities, including those that still see psychological issues as a personal problem or taboo, the main barriers to mental health aren't just limited services, but also stigma, low mental health literacy, delays in seeking help, and fragmented sectors. Stigma can make people postpone consultations, hide their symptoms, or choose unhelpful coping strategies; on the other hand, improving mental health literacy can boost the ability to recognize symptoms, seek support, and use the right resources. That's why this article highlights public awareness, family support, healthy work environments, educational interventions, elder support, and the use of technology as parts of an interconnected mental health ecosystem.

Based on this background, this article aims to answer two research questions. First, how can the concepts, principles, and theoretical framework of mental health psychology explain the needs for promotion, prevention, intervention, and recovery in various life contexts? Second, how can the application of mental health psychology in families, workplaces, education, the elderly, and technology be optimized to enhance mental well-being while reducing the risk of psychological disorders? These questions are important because studies on mental health are often separated into clinical, social, organizational, and digital discussions, even though recent evidence emphasizes the need for an ecological and cross-disciplinary collaborative approach [13], [22], [23].

The purpose of this article is to compile a conceptual and practical review of mental health psychology by emphasizing the background, urgency, main principles, areas of application, implementation challenges, and directions for future research development. Specifically, this article aims to integrate literature, especially since 2020, on mental health, psychological interventions, mental health literacy, resilience, family support, workplace mental health, child and adolescent mental health, elderly mental health, as well as digital mental health. In this way, the article is expected to make a conceptual contribution, especially since its flow has been focused on research questions, goals, qualitative literature review methodology, results synthesis, and future research implications [24], [25].

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Basic Concepts of Mental Health Psychology

Mental health psychology can be understood as an interdisciplinary field that studies the psychological, social, biological, and environmental factors affecting mental well-being, adaptability, and individual functioning. This concept rejects the narrow view that mental health only means the absence of disorders, because good mental health also includes the ability to build meaningful relationships, manage emotions, make decisions, work productively, and participate in social life. From the perspective of contemporary psychology, mental health is seen as a dynamic process that can change throughout the life cycle and is influenced by the quality of relationships, socio-economic security, trauma experiences, lifestyle, and access to professional services. Optimal mental health allows individuals to handle life's pressures in a more adaptive way. Resilience, emotional regulation, social support, and mental health literacy act as protective factors that help people see difficulties as manageable challenges rather than paralyzing threats. Recent evidence shows that psychological interventions designed to boost well-being, like emotional regulation training, mindfulness, coping skills, and social support, can contribute to increased well-being and reduced psychological distress [23], [28], [29].

2. Biopsychosocial and Ecological Model

The biopsychosocial model is an important framework in mental health psychology because it explains that mental conditions are shaped by the interaction of biological, psychological, and social factors. Biological factors include genetics, brain function, the neuroendocrine system, sleep, physical activity, and medical conditions; psychological factors cover cognition, emotions, personality, trauma, life meaning, and coping patterns; while social factors involve family, work, education, poverty, culture, stigma, and community support [26], [30], [31]. This framework is important because interventions that only focus on individual symptoms can miss the social and environmental roots that cause mental problems to arise or persist.

An ecological approach complements the biopsychosocial model by placing individuals within layered systems, from family relationships, school, workplace, community, public policy, to digital technology. In the context of children and adolescents, for example, anxiety symptoms can't be separated from

academic pressure, peer relationships, social media use, parenting styles, and school climate; while for workers, psychological stress is linked to workload, autonomy, job insecurity, organizational culture, and managerial support. That's why mental health psychology needs a systemic approach that connects individual interventions with environmental changes.

3. Promotion, Prevention, Intervention, and Recovery

Mental health promotion aims to strengthen conditions that allow individuals and communities to thrive, while prevention focuses on reducing risk factors and increasing protective factors before disorders become more serious. Curative interventions are needed when someone has already experienced significant disorders or distress, whereas recovery emphasizes empowerment, hope, social participation, and quality of life after symptoms have decreased. This difference is important because an effective mental health system can't just wait for people to come in with serious problems—it also needs to provide education, screening, early support, psychological services, and easily accessible referrals [12], [15], [16], [27], [36].

Psychological intervention literature shows that evidence-based therapy, including cognitive behavioural therapy, psychological well-being interventions, peer support, and digital interventions, can help reduce symptoms and improve coping skills. However, the effectiveness of interventions is greatly influenced by contextual fit, user engagement, professional capacity, program sustainability, and integration with existing service systems [17], [18], [37], [38]. Therefore, evidence-based principles need to be combined with cultural sensitivity and understanding of the target group's needs.

4. Literacy, Stigma, and Help-Seeking Behavior

Mental health literacy includes knowledge about how to take care of mental health, recognizing signs of disorders, understanding risk factors, knowing sources of help, and having an attitude that supports seeking help. Good literacy can reduce misunderstandings that mental disorders always mean personal weakness, while also encouraging individuals to seek help earlier. In the context of schools and universities, mental health literacy also helps teachers, lecturers, and peers identify behavioral changes that need support [19], [20], [21], [24].

Stigma continues to be a major barrier in using mental health services. Public stigma makes people afraid of being judged negatively, while self-stigma makes them feel ashamed, guilty, or unworthy of getting help. These obstacles get even trickier when combined with poverty, lack of access to services, low trust in professionals, and cultural norms that encourage hiding psychological problems. That's why mental health efforts need to include changing social attitudes, not just providing clinical services.

5. Digital Technology in Mental Health

Digital mental health is rapidly growing through telecounseling, self-help apps, chatbots, psychoeducation platforms, device-based symptom monitoring, and online interventions. This technology provides opportunities to expand access, especially for people who live far from services, have mobility barriers, or are hesitant to seek help due to stigma. Systematic evidence shows that digital interventions can be helpful for depression, anxiety, and improving well-being,

but their effectiveness depends on evidence-based design, user engagement, data protection, professional supervision, and referral mechanisms when high risks are detected [24], [39], [40].

Technology also brings new risks. Unverified apps can give wrong advice, increase reliance on self-diagnosis, or miss warning signs like suicidal thoughts, violence, and serious disorders. Besides that, excessive social media use can amplify social comparison, sleep problems, cyberbullying, and self-image pressure in teens. That's why integrating technology into mental health needs to be paired with ethical guidelines, quality standards, digital literacy, and a clear role for professionals.

METHODOLOGY

This article uses a qualitative literature review method, not a systematic literature review, because its main goal is to build a conceptual and practical synthesis about mental health psychology, not to calculate intervention effects or select studies with strict PRISMA protocols. The review was conducted by searching for and selecting reputable journal literature since 2020 that discusses mental health, promotion and prevention, the biopsychosocial model, psychological interventions, literacy and stigma, family support, mental health at work, child and adolescent mental health, elderly mental health, and digital technology in mental services. This approach suits a conceptual article because it allows the author to integrate theory, empirical findings, and practical implications thematically [12], [25].

The review process was carried out through a critical reading of relevant articles from reputable international and national journals, with priority given to review articles, meta-analyses, systematic reviews, conceptual articles, and empirical studies that strongly contribute to understanding the application of mental health psychology. Literature was selected based on its relevance to the research questions, currency, journal reputation, clarity of methodology, and contribution to practical discussions. The synthesis focused on key themes, namely mental health concepts, holistic principles, resilience, literacy, family, workplace, education, the elderly, technology, implementation challenges, and future research agendas [15], [22].

The analysis was done in a narrative-thematic way by grouping the literature findings into conceptual and practical categories. Each theme was analyzed to identify similarities, differences, gaps, and practical implications for the development of mental health psychology. Since this article is a qualitative literature review, the results are not meant to provide a quantitative estimate of intervention effectiveness, but rather to build a coherent scientific argument on how mental health psychology principles can be applied in the context of family, work, education, older adults, and digital technology [23], [39].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Mental Health Psychology Applications

Mental health psychology applications involve efforts to understand, prevent, handle, and recover from psychological problems in various life contexts. This perspective is important because individuals don't live in a clinical space separated from their social reality; instead, they exist within networks of family, education, work, community, culture, and technology. Therefore, mental health psychology applications should be seen as a layered process that connects individual skills with systemic support.

A. Mental Health in the Family Environment

Family is the first ecosystem that shapes emotional regulation, a sense of security, communication style, and coping patterns in individuals. Emotional support from family can help someone deal with life's pressures more adaptively, while chronic conflict, abuse, neglect, or unhealthy communication can increase the risk of stress, anxiety, depression, and behavioral problems. For kids and teens, the quality of the parent-child relationship, emotional warmth, consistent parenting, and validation of feelings are key factors in preventing long-term psychological issues.

Applying mental health psychology in the family can be done through positive parenting education, family counseling, open communication, support for caregivers, and professional referrals when warning signs appear. Families also need to understand that mental health is not just an issue for the individual showing symptoms, but a relational matter influenced by how family members respond to each other. Therefore, family-based interventions not only target the symptoms but also improve interaction patterns, reduce caregiver burden, and create an environment that supports recovery [18], [43].

B. Mental Health in the Workplace

The workplace is an important space for mental health because things like workload, relationships with bosses and colleagues, job uncertainty, role conflicts, and organizational culture can be sources of chronic stress. Employee wellness programs, counseling, flexible working arrangements, managerial support, strengthening work-life balance, and fair job design can reduce the risk of burnout and improve well-being. However, workplace interventions aren't enough if they only focus on the individual; organizations also need to fix structural factors like excessive workload, poor communication, unclear roles, and a culture that normalizes extreme stress [33], [45].

Mental health psychology applications in the workplace need to be incorporated into organizational policies that protect dignity, psychological safety, and access to support. Training managers to recognize signs of stress, providing confidential help channels, and building an inclusive work culture can strengthen prevention. In the digital era and with hybrid work, organizations also need to set boundaries for work communication, prevent digital fatigue, and maintain social cohesion so that flexibility doesn't turn into work isolation [33], [39].

C. Mental Health in Kids and Teens

Kids and teens are a vulnerable group because they're going through fast biological, cognitive, emotional, and social development. Academic pressure, peer relationships, body changes, family conflicts, social media use, and uncertainty about the future can increase the risk of anxiety and depression. Meta-analyses during the pandemic showed an increase in depression and anxiety symptoms among kids and teens, making school and family services an important frontline for promotion and early detection [4], [6], [46], [47], [48], [49], [50].

Schools can be a strategic arena for mental health literacy, strengthening social-emotional skills, preventing bullying, and early referrals. Effective school programs need to combine education, coping skills training, teacher support, parental involvement, and protection mechanisms for at-risk students. This approach helps answer the first research question because it shows that mental health psychology principles work when concepts like resilience, literacy, social support, and evidence-based interventions are applied concretely in educational institutions [24], [38], [51], [52].

D. Mental Health in the Elderly

Mental health in the elderly is often affected by retirement, losing a spouse or peers, chronic illnesses, limited mobility, decreased independence, social isolation, and existential worries. The needs of older adults cannot be met by medical services alone because their mental well-being also depends on social connections, meaningful activities, feeling valued, community access, and family support. Recent studies emphasize the need for an integrated approach that links healthcare services, social support, community activities, and elderly-friendly psychological interventions [23], [53], [54], [55], [56].

Mental health psychology applications for the elderly can be carried out through counseling, group therapy, reminiscence interventions, social activities, caregiver support, promoting safe physical activities, and strengthening life meaning. Within the family context, family members need to be encouraged to avoid infantilizing behavior, which is treating the elderly as if they have no agency, because this can reinforce feelings of helplessness. Interventions that respect the autonomy of the elderly tend to align better with recovery goals and long-term well-being [18], [53], [54], [57].

E. Digital Technology and Mental Health Services

Digital technology opens up huge opportunities to expand access to mental health services through telepsychology, telepsychiatry, self-help apps, online education, and symptom monitoring. In crisis situations like a pandemic, technology becomes an important link when face-to-face services are limited. Digital interventions can also reduce stigma barriers since users can access initial information more privately [5], [7], [13], [17], [39], [58].

However, digitalization shouldn't be seen as a complete replacement for therapeutic relationships. Issues like data privacy, app validity, information quality, low user engagement, the risk of relying too much on self-diagnosis, and digital access gaps need to be anticipated. Technology is most helpful when positioned as part of a stepped care system, which includes early support,

monitoring, education, and referrals connected to professionals when risks increase [24], [40], [42], [59].

2. Challenges in Mental Health Psychology Applications

The first challenge is the stigma and low mental health literacy. Many people still delay seeking help because they're afraid of being seen as weak, lacking faith, unable to control themselves, or worried about their privacy being exposed. Stigma can also show up in families, workplaces, and schools, so people facing these issues often get moral judgment instead of support. This situation shows that mental health psychology interventions need to be accompanied by literacy campaigns, public education, and narratives that normalize seeking professional help [15], [19], [20].

The second challenge is the gap in access to services. Many areas still face shortages of psychologists, psychiatrists, counselors, and community services. This gap is even tougher for the poor, rural communities, kids and teens, the elderly, people with disabilities, and informal workers. So, strengthening mental health services has to include integration into primary care, training non-specialist staff, peer support, and the use of safe technology [15], [22].

The third challenge is fragmentation between sectors. Mental health is often seen as purely a health sector issue, even though the causes and solutions involve education, employment, family, social protection, the digital environment, and public policy. Without cross-disciplinary collaboration, interventions tend to be partial: schools hold seminars without referrals, companies provide counseling without fixing workloads, or digital apps offer self-help without a risk escalation system. Cross-sector collaboration is needed so that promotion, prevention, intervention, and recovery work as a mutually supportive ecosystem [12], [16], [22].

The fourth challenge is making sure interventions are both evidence-based and culturally relevant. Many mental health programs are developed in high-income countries, so applying them in other communities requires adapting to language, family norms, religious values, community structures, and help-seeking patterns. Cultural adaptation doesn't mean lowering scientific standards, but making sure the intervention can be understood, accepted, and used by the target group [15], [51].

The fifth challenge is related to ethics and technology governance. Digital mental health can expand access, but it can also bring risks like data commercialization, biased algorithms, inaccurate information, and services without professional supervision. Therefore, implementing technology should consider informed consent, confidentiality, data security, scientific validation, transparency, and emergency referral mechanisms [17], [39], [41].

3. Discussion and Synthesis to Answer the Research Questions

The first research question asks how the concepts, principles, and theoretical framework of mental health psychology explain the needs for promotion, prevention, intervention, and recovery. This article's synthesis shows that mental health psychology can't be understood narrowly as just individual therapy, but should be placed within a biopsychosocial and ecological model. A more complete concept of mental health combines subjective well-being, adaptability, social functioning, resilience, social support, literacy, and access to professional help [11], [23], [26].

The second research question asks how mental health psychology applications in families, workplaces, education, older adults, and technology can be optimized. The answer is that applications will be stronger if implemented through a combination of individual interventions and environmental changes. In families, the focus is on communication patterns, emotional support, and positive parenting; at work, the focus is on organizational culture and job design; in schools, the focus is on literacy and social-emotional protection; for older adults, the focus is on social support and meaning in life; while in the digital realm, the focus is on access, quality, safety, and referrals [24], [33], [43], [53].

This discussion also shows that mental health psychology applications should focus on early prevention. Evidence of increasing depression, anxiety, social isolation, and psychological stress among teenagers and the general public shows that a mental health system that waits for a crisis is not enough. A layered approach is needed that strengthens literacy, builds early help pathways, improves service access, and connects clinical interventions with social support [1], [2], [8], [46].

Within the scope of the article's objectives, this study highlights that mental health psychology is strategically valuable because it provides both a conceptual language and practical tools to understand mental issues in everyday life. The article also emphasizes that the most promising interventions are those that are evidence-based, culturally sensitive, collaborative, and sustainable [12], [15], [22].

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This article concludes that mental health psychology is a very relevant field to address the needs of contemporary society, which faces psychological pressures, social changes, economic uncertainty, technological disruption, and transformations in social relationships. Mental health can't be understood just as the absence of disorders, but as the capacity of individuals and communities to manage stress, build meaningful relationships, work productively, and live with a sense of purpose. This perspective puts mental health as an integral part of human well-being and social development.

Conceptually, this article emphasizes the importance of the biopsychosocial and ecological models. Mental disorders or well-being don't come from a single factor, but from the interaction between biological conditions, thought patterns, emotions, life experiences, family, school, workplace, community, culture, and policies. Therefore, the application of mental health

psychology needs to include promotion, prevention, intervention, and recovery in an integrated way, not just clinical services provided after symptoms become severe.

In practice, family, workplaces, schools, elder care services, and digital technology are the main arenas for strengthening mental health. Families can provide emotional support and healthy communication patterns; workplaces can build a psychologically safe organizational culture; schools can boost literacy and resilience in children and teens; elder care services can reduce social isolation and maintain a sense of purpose; while digital technology can expand access if managed ethically and based on evidence. It's the integration across these areas that meets the article's goal of connecting mental health psychology principles with real-world practice.

The main challenges that need to be addressed are stigma, low mental health literacy, gaps in service access, fragmentation across sectors, cultural mismatches, and ethical risks of technology. These challenges show that mental health can't be tackled by individuals or health professionals alone. Support from families, organizations, schools, communities, policymakers, and tech providers is needed to make the mental health system more inclusive, preventive, and sustainable.

For future research, empirical studies are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of mental health psychology interventions in the local context, including family-based, school-based, workplace, community, elderly, and digital interventions. Future research also needs to test cross-sector collaboration models, culturally adapt evidence-based interventions, measure mental health literacy, and address ethical governance in digital mental health. With this research direction, mental health psychology can grow as a scientific field that is not only theoretically strong but also relevant to community needs.

FURTHER STUDY

This study still has limitations, so further research is needed on the topic of Mental Health Psychology in a Biopsychosocial Perspective: Applications in Family, Workplace, Education, Older Adults, and Digital Technology to improve this study and provide more insights for researchers and writers.

REFERENCES

- A. C. James, T. Reardon, A. Soler, G. James, and C. Creswell, "Cognitive behavioural therapy for anxiety disorders in children and adolescents," *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.*, vol. 2020, no. 11, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD013162.pub2.
- A. F. Jorm, "Effect of Contact-Based Interventions on Stigma and Discrimination: A Critical Examination of the Evidence," *Psychiatr. Serv.*, vol. 71, no. 7, pp. 735–737, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201900587.
- A. Gulliver, L. Farrer, K. Bennett, and K. M. Griffiths, "University staff mental health literacy, stigma and their experience of students with mental health problems," *J. Furth. High. Educ.*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 434–442, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1080/0309877X.2017.1367370.
- C. Cheng, H. Wang, and O. V. Ebrahimi, "Adjustment to a 'New Normal': Coping Flexibility and Mental Health Issues During the COVID-19 Pandemic," *Front. Psychiatry*, vol. 12, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.626197.
- C. F. Reynolds, D. V. Jeste, P. S. Sachdev, and D. G. Blazer, "Mental health care for older adults: recent advances and new directions in clinical practice and research," *World Psychiatry*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 336–363, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1002/wps.20996.
- C. J. Tyrrell and K. N. Williams, "The paradox of social distancing: Implications for older adults in the context of COVID-19," *Psychol. Trauma Theory, Res. Pract. Policy*, vol. 12, no. S1, pp. S214–S216, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1037/tra0000845.
- C. Moreno et al., "How mental health care should change as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic," *The Lancet Psychiatry*, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 813–824, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30307-2.
- C. Wang et al., "A longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in China," *Brain. Behav. Immun.*, vol. 87, pp. 40–48, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.028.
- D. F. Santomauro et al., "Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic," *Lancet*, vol. 398, no. 10312, pp. 1700–1712, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02143-7.
- D. Gunnell et al., "Suicide risk and prevention during the COVID-19 pandemic," *The Lancet Psychiatry*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 468–471, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30171-1.

- E. A. Holmes et al., "Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science," *The Lancet Psychiatry*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 547–560, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30168-1.
- E. Abi-Jaoude, K. T. Naylor, and A. Pignatiello, "Smartphones, social media use and youth mental health," *Can. Med. Assoc. J.*, vol. 192, no. 6, pp. E136–E141, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1503/cmaj.190434.
- E. Dietvorst et al., "Effective elements of eHealth interventions for mental health and well-being in children and adolescents: A systematic review," *Digit. Heal.*, vol. 10, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1177/20552076241294105.
- E. E. Cameron, K. M. Joyce, C. P. Delaquis, K. Reynolds, J. L. P. Protudjer, and L. E. Roos, "Maternal psychological distress & mental health service use during the COVID-19 pandemic," *J. Affect. Disord.*, vol. 276, pp. 765–774, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.07.081.
- E. G. Lattie, C. Stiles-Shields, and A. K. Graham, "An overview of and recommendations for more accessible digital mental health services," *Nat. Rev. Psychol.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 87–100, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1038/s44159-021-00003-1.
- I. V. Vahia, D. V. Jeste, and C. F. Reynolds, "Older Adults and the Mental Health Effects of COVID-19," *JAMA*, vol. 324, no. 22, p. 2253, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.21753.
- J. A. Naslund, A. Bondre, J. Torous, and K. A. Aschbrenner, "Social Media and Mental Health: Benefits, Risks, and Opportunities for Research and Practice," *J. Technol. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 245–257, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s41347-020-00134-x.
- J. Deng et al., "The prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms and sleep disturbance in higher education students during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Psychiatry Res.*, vol. 301, p. 113863, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113863.
- J. Firth et al., "The Lancet Psychiatry Commission: a blueprint for protecting physical health in people with mental illness," *The Lancet Psychiatry*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 675–712, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30132-4.
- J. Gruber et al., "Mental health and clinical psychological science in the time of COVID-19: Challenges, opportunities, and a call to action," *Am. Psychol.*, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 409–426, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1037/amp0000707.
- J. L. Schleider, M. L. Dobias, J. Y. Sung, and M. C. Mullarkey, "Future Directions in Single-Session Youth Mental Health Interventions," *J. Clin. Child Adolesc. Psychol.*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 264–278, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1080/15374416.2019.1683852.

- J. M. Cénat et al., "Prevalence of symptoms of depression, anxiety, insomnia, posttraumatic stress disorder, and psychological distress among populations affected by the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Psychiatry Res.*, vol. 295, p. 113599, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113599.
- J. Maben and J. Bridges, "Covid-19: Supporting nurses' psychological and mental health," *J. Clin. Nurs.*, vol. 29, no. 15–16, pp. 2742–2750, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1111/jocn.15307.
- J. Torous, K. Jän Myrick, N. Rauseo-Ricupero, and J. Firth, "Digital Mental Health and COVID-19: Using Technology Today to Accelerate the Curve on Access and Quality Tomorrow," *JMIR Ment. Heal.*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. e18848, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.2196/18848.
- J. van Agteren et al., "A systematic review and meta-analysis of psychological interventions to improve mental wellbeing," *Nat. Hum. Behav.*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 631–652, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41562-021-01093-w.
- J. Xiong et al., "Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: A systematic review," *J. Affect. Disord.*, vol. 277, pp. 55–64, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.08.001.
- K. Batra, T. P. Singh, M. Sharma, R. Batra, and N. Schvaneveldt, "Investigating the Psychological Impact of COVID-19 among Healthcare Workers: A Meta-Analysis," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 17, no. 23, p. 9096, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.3390/ijerph17239096.
- K. E. Riehm et al., "Association between psychological resilience and changes in mental distress during the COVID-19 pandemic," *J. Affect. Disord.*, vol. 282, pp. 381–385, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.12.071.
- L. B. Aknin et al., "Mental Health During the First Year of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Review and Recommendations for Moving Forward," *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 915–936, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.1177/17456916211029964.
- L. B. Sander et al., "'Help for trauma from the app stores?' A systematic review and standardised rating of apps for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)," *Eur. J. Psychotraumatol.*, vol. 11, no. 1, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1080/20008198.2019.1701788.
- L. Duan et al., "An investigation of mental health status of children and adolescents in china during the outbreak of COVID-19," *J. Affect. Disord.*, vol. 275, pp. 112–118, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.029.

- L. Kola et al., "COVID-19 mental health impact and responses in low-income and middle-income countries: reimagining global mental health," *The Lancet Psychiatry*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 535–550, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00025-0.
- M. Almeida, A. D. Shrestha, D. Stojanac, and L. J. Miller, "The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on women's mental health," *Arch. Womens. Ment. Health*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 741–748, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s00737-020-01092-2.
- M. Deady et al., "A smartphone application for treating depressive symptoms: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial," *BMC Psychiatry*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 166, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12888-018-1752-5.
- M. E. Loades et al., "Rapid Systematic Review: The Impact of Social Isolation and Loneliness on the Mental Health of Children and Adolescents in the Context of COVID-19," *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry*, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 1218–1239.e3, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2020.05.009.
- M. M. Hossain et al., "Epidemiology of mental health problems in COVID-19: a review," *F1000Research*, vol. 9, p. 636, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.12688/f1000research.24457.1.
- M. Ridley, G. Rao, F. Schilbach, and V. Patel, "Poverty, depression, and anxiety: Causal evidence and mechanisms," *Science (80-.)*, vol. 370, no. 6522, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1126/science.aay0214.
- M. S. Bauer and J. Kirchner, "Implementation science: What is it and why should I care?," *Psychiatry Res.*, vol. 283, p. 112376, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.04.025.
- N. Racine, B. A. McArthur, J. E. Cooke, R. Eirich, J. Zhu, and S. Madigan, "Global Prevalence of Depressive and Anxiety Symptoms in Children and Adolescents During COVID-19," *JAMA Pediatr.*, vol. 175, no. 11, p. 1142, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.2482.
- N. Salari et al., "Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Global. Health*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 57, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1186/s12992-020-00589-w.
- N. Vindegaard and M. E. Benros, "COVID-19 pandemic and mental health consequences: Systematic review of the current evidence," *Brain. Behav. Immun.*, vol. 89, pp. 531–542, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.048.
- O. A. Fakoya, N. K. McCorry, and M. Donnelly, "Loneliness and social isolation interventions for older adults: a scoping review of reviews," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 129, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-8251-6.

- P. Cuijpers, E. Karyotaki, M. Ciharova, C. Miguel, H. Noma, and T. A. Furukawa, "The effects of psychotherapies for depression on response, remission, reliable change, and deterioration: A meta-analysis," *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.*, vol. 144, no. 3, pp. 288–299, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1111/acps.13335.
- P. D. McGorry, C. Mei, A. Chanen, C. Hodges, M. Alvarez-Jimenez, and E. Killackey, "Designing and scaling up integrated youth mental health care," *World Psychiatry*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 61–76, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1002/wps.20938.
- P. Fusar-Poli et al., "What is good mental health? A scoping review," *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.*, vol. 31, pp. 33–46, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.12.105.
- R. A. H. Shalaby and V. I. O. Agyapong, "Peer Support in Mental Health: Literature Review," *JMIR Ment. Heal.*, vol. 7, no. 6, p. e15572, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.2196/15572.
- R. Armitage and L. B. Nellums, "COVID-19 and the consequences of isolating the elderly," *Lancet Public Heal.*, vol. 5, no. 5, p. e256, May 2020, doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30061-X.
- S. A. Moore et al., "Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: a national survey," *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 85, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1186/s12966-020-00987-8.
- S. Galea, R. M. Merchant, and N. Lurie, "The Mental Health Consequences of COVID-19 and Physical Distancing," *JAMA Intern. Med.*, vol. 180, no. 6, p. 817, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.1562.
- S. Gritzka, T. E. MacIntyre, D. Dörfel, J. L. Baker-Blanc, and G. Calogiuri, "The Effects of Workplace Nature-Based Interventions on the Mental Health and Well-Being of Employees: A Systematic Review," *Front. Psychiatry*, vol. 11, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2020.00323.
- S. Kutcher, Y. Wei, and C. Coniglio, "Mental Health Literacy," *Can. J. Psychiatry*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 154–158, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1177/0706743715616609.
- S. Lagdon et al., "Families with Parental Mental Health Problems: A Systematic Narrative Review of Family-Focused Practice," *Child Abus. Rev.*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 400–421, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1002/car.2706.
- S. Lehtimäki, J. Martic, B. Wahl, K. T. Foster, and N. Schwalbe, "Evidence on Digital Mental Health Interventions for Adolescents and Young People: Systematic Overview," *JMIR Ment. Heal.*, vol. 8, no. 4, p. e25847, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.2196/25847.

- S. Nochaiwong et al., "Global prevalence of mental health issues among the general population during the coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 10173, May 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-89700-8.
- S. S. Chatterjee, M. Barikar C, and A. Mukherjee, "Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on pre-existing mental health problems," *Asian J. Psychiatr.*, vol. 51, p. 102071, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102071.
- S. Singh, D. Roy, K. Sinha, S. Parveen, G. Sharma, and G. Joshi, "Impact of COVID-19 and lockdown on mental health of children and adolescents: A narrative review with recommendations," *Psychiatry Res.*, vol. 293, p. 113429, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113429.
- T. R. Wind, M. Rijkeboer, G. Andersson, and H. Riper, "The COVID-19 pandemic: The 'black swan' for mental health care and a turning point for e-health," *Internet Interv.*, vol. 20, p. 100317, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.invent.2020.100317.
- V. Patel et al., "Transforming mental health systems globally: principles and policy recommendations," *Lancet*, vol. 402, no. 10402, pp. 656-666, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00918-2.
- X. Zhou et al., "The Role of Telehealth in Reducing the Mental Health Burden from COVID-19," *Telemed. e-Health*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 377-379, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1089/tmj.2020.0068.